

Peer-to-peer feedback & reflection process

This process provides a space for reflection and feedback: how is everyone doing, and how are we doing as a collective? It includes written self reflection and written feedback from others and an hour-long feedback meeting.

20.03.23

Info



Overview

This is a accountability / personal and professional development / staff supervision and reviews policy that's been used for 1–3 years by a self-managed / flat activist group with 05–14 people and a turnover of £100–£300k.

Policy details

Type:	Policy
Used for:	1–3 years
Policy areas:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">AccountabilityPersonal and professional developmentStaff supervision and reviews
Related policies:	-
Known Legal issues:	Don't know
Legal issue info:	

We are an international collective, with freelancers based in different countries, our policies and processes are designed around trust, rather than a legal framework.

Organisation info

Name:	Gastivists Collective <https://www.gastivists.org>
Type:	Activist Group
Structure:	Self-managed / Flat
People:	05–14
Turnover:	£100–£300k

Contents

1. Preparations
2. Feedback forms
3. Organise feedback meetings
4. Feedback meeting

Policy

Original **Google Doc version**

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Kt1UZbfDGvvGdf9GE6kep-N8TcLxs9q9F97f_JmkgoE/edit .

A four-stage process:

Preparations

The person to be evaluated decides via **this schedule**

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dWalvB0MOeOY5X4ynSELvx8hbdECnr32gyfHruiBiyA/edit#gid=0> :

1. Which 2 people of the collective they would like to ask written feedback from (can be anyone)
2. Which 2-3 people (min. 1 care team member; min. 1 person who has also given written feedback) they would like to have a meeting with to discuss the written feedback and have a chat.

When choosing people, try to take into account who knows your work (a little bit) and/or share your last spotlight presentation or something else that shows a bit of your work, and that the load of filling out forms and being present at meetings is shared somehow equally throughout the collective (with the exception of the care team).

Feedback forms

- The person to be evaluated fills in the **self-evaluation form** https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YAG-3GYRXjTcju_zhy9-E9-OdFegUSVR8uNA4XpRUgY/edit?usp=share_link , and shares it with the people present at the feedback meeting.
- The 2 people asked to give written feedback, fill out the **feedback form** https://docs.google.com/document/d/12L_FxRIE_C_suR1Bau67hg3gH99D4SN6PWpZufM2gQo/edit and share it with the person being evaluated

Tips for giving feedback: in **this document**

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1nYpYhJ9Iv_Mw_KInZ0E3UqaxYr90s_kD_cfoYaLq29E/edit# you can find a summary of tips and tricks from different sources, and the links to those sources in case you'd like to learn more.

Organise feedback meetings

- Person to be evaluated schedules meeting with 2-3 people “present at meetings”
- People present at meetings read through feedback forms filled out by other people for the person being evaluated

Feedback meeting

1 hour

- Present: person evaluated, one person from care team, one non-care team colleague (optional: another colleague)
- The meeting follows this **feedback meeting** <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1jM3rXXRA0Ckx29JH6TaXdBwa5qRQ0Ptc71HceukoYQQ/edit#heading=h.5ejog1o901m6> format
- The meeting ends with a concrete list of personal and collective improvement points

Collective improvement points are later gathered by the care team and discussed in a collective call after all the meetings have happened

*Tips for receiving feedback: also in **this document** https://docs.google.com/document/d/1nYpYhJ9lv_Mw_KlnZ0E3UqaXYr9Os_kD_cfoYaLq29E/edit# .*

*Thanks to **Fian Belgium** <https://www.fian.be/> , **Friends of the Earth Europe** <https://friendsoftheearth.eu/> and **Drift for transition** <https://drift.eur.nl/> for inspiration.*

From the RadHR.org Policy Library.